FLIGHT International, w/e 3 January 1976

13

Ai

r defence: th

e renewe

d RA
F need In the midst of more threatened defence cuts, the RAF is seriousl

y concerned
abou

t th

e numbe

r o

f aircraf

t i

t ha

s i

n th

e fron

t lin

e a

s well a

s th

e improve

d quality
o

f th

e Warsa

w Pac

t force

s facin

g it

. Chie

f o

f th

e Ai

r Staf

f ACM ' Si

r Andrew

Humphrey

, i

n a "stat

e o

f th

e nation

" speec

h jus

t befor

e Christma

s (se

e "Flight"
las

t week

, pag

e 900), dre

w particula

r attentio

n t

o th

e air-defenc

e need

s o

f the

Royal Ai

r Force. I

HAV

E OFTE

N SAI

D tha

t th

e qualit

y of
th

e ai

r forc

e i

s all-important. In

-

dee

d a small ai

r forc

e i

s nothing—
onl

y a

n extravagance—i

f it

s quality is not high. I believe our quality is
hig

h today. W

e coul

d no

t hav

e moved
th

e force

s t

o Beliz

e tha

t w

e di

d at
suc

h shor

t notic

e a fe

w week

s ag
o if it had not been; nor could we have

th

e succes

s tha

t w

e d

o i

n international
competitions, an

d a mont

h o

r tw

o ago
th

e Harrie

r Win

g i

n German

y gained
a TacEval assessmen

t o

f on

e i

n every
respect—somethin

g tha

t I d

o not
thin

k an

y othe

r uni

t ha

s eve

r don

e in
Nato.

Bu

t i

f th

e qualit

y i

s high, the
quantit

y give

s m

e grea

t caus

e for
worry—i

n particula

r ou

r strengt

h in
comba

t aircraft. A ver

y larg

e reduc

-

tio

n wa

s mad

e a

s a resul

t o

f th

e 1957
Defenc

e Whit

e Pape

r an

d eve

r since
the

n ther

e ha

s bee

n a gradual erosion,
sometime

s notice

d momentaril

y but
mor

e generall

y unnoticed. Our
strengt

h i

n comba

t aircraf

t toda

y is
onl

y 1

3 pe

r cen

t o

f wha

t i

t wa

s in
1957—tha

t i

s a

n 8

7 pe

r cen

t reduction,
an

d I us

e th

e expressio

n comba

t air

-

craf

t t

o mea

n an

y aircraf

t intended
fo

r attack, defenc

e o

r reconnaissance. It is not only we that have been

reduced. Th

e othe

r Service

s hav

e been
to

o an

d s

o hav

e th

e othe

r Allie

d air
forces. Balance

s ar

e delicat

e things,
fairl

y easil

y upset, an

d i

n important
respect

s th

e balanc

e o

f powe

r has
bee

n movin

g fo

r man

y year

s against
th

e interest

s o

f th

e Wester

n Alliance. If the focus of Russian effort is today on the ideological struggle, she is at

th

e sam

e tim

e buildin

g u

p he

r physical
strengt

h s

o tha

t sh

e coul

d chang

e or

threate

n t

o chang

e t

o a strateg

y of
forc

e a

t an

y tim

e sh

e wished—and
certainl

y muc

h mor

e quickl

y tha

n we

coul

d increas

e ou

r strengt

h t

o meet

her. At a tim

e whe

n th

e Western
Allianc

e i

s weakene

d b

y dissen

t be

-

twee

n som

e membe

r nations, an

d by
a

n unwillingnes

s o

n th

e par

t of most
t

o pa

y sufficien

t t

o sustai

n th

e present
level o

f defence, Russi

a i

s increasing
he

r militar

y expenditur

e eac

h year—
indee

d D

r Schlesinge

r ha

s sai

d that it has increased by between 3 and 5

pe

r cen

t eac

h yea

r fo

r th

e pas

t ten
year

s an

d tha

t thi

s yea

r i

t exceeds
tha

t o

f Americ

a i

n real term

s b

y no
les

s tha

n 5

0 pe

r cent.

Perhap

s eve

n mor

e alarming, Russia is now spending considerably more on

militar

y researc

h an

d development
tha

n th

e whol

e o

f th

e Wester

n world
pu

t together. S

o i

n th

e futur

e w

e must
expec

t t

o b

e face

d no

t onl

y wit

h forces
o

f increasin

g siz

e an

d increasing
qualit

y bu

t als

o with, mos

t probably,

som

e alarmin

g technological achieve

-

ments.
Russi

a i

s no

w makin

g mor

e than
1,70

0 militar

y aircraf

t eac

h yea

r and
o

f thes

e mor

e tha

n 70

0 ar

e o

f the
mos

t advance

d typ

e o

f high-per

-

formanc

e comba

t aircraft. Sh

e is
replacin

g he

r olde

r aircraf

t a

t least
on

e fo

r on

e wit

h ne

w an

d vastl

y more
advance

d types. T

o tak

e a civilian
analogy, i

t i

s a

s thoug

h a

n airlin

e was

replacin

g it

s age

d fleet o

f Comet

s and
earl

y 707

s on

e fo

r on

e wit

h Concordes
an

d 747s—

a giganti

c increas

e in

capability. In spite o

f thi

s ther

e ar

e still those
wh

o argu

e tha

t i

t i

s Russia

n inten

-

tions, no

t capabilities, tha

t matte

r and.

MJG-23

B photograp

h courtes

y o

f Flu

g Revue

sinc

e i

t canno

t b

e show

n tha

t their
intention

s ar

e hostile, ther

e i

s n

o need
fo

r th

e Wester

n Allianc

e t

o match
thei

r capability. It was agains

t thi

s rathe

r sombre
backgroun

d tha

t w

e ha

d t

o consider
ou

r strategi

c prioritie

s i

n th

e defence
revie

w o

f 1974. W

e gav

e first priority
t

o th

e cor

e o

f Nat

o strateg

y an

d in
particula

r t

o thre

e component

s o

f it:
th

e securit

y o

f transatlanti

c line

s of
communication; th

e securit

y o

f the
bas

e area—Grea

t Britai

n an

d th

e sea
an

d ai

r approache

s t

o it

; an

d the
securit

y o

f th

e Central Region.
Th

e submarin

e i

s see

n b

y most
peopl

e a

s dominatin

g th

e transatlantic
line

s o

f communicatio

n today, bu

t I
woul

d tak

e a ver

y substantial be
t that in a few years it will be air power that

doe

s so

. I

n war, th

e top-priorit

y loads
woul

d b

e boun

d t

o b

e carrie

d b

y air.
Moreover, Russia

n fast, wide-ranging
an

d high-performanc

e aircraf

t like
Backfire, arme

d wit

h stand-of

f missiles,
ma

y soo

n becom

e a

n eve

n greater
dange

r t

o allie

d shippin

g tha

n the
relativel

y slow-movin

g Russia

n sub

-

marines, an

d i

n th

e battl

e agains

t the